

Candidate's Name: Rich Olson

House District 55

**COUNTY ROAD ASSOCIATION OF MICHIGAN RUSH-PAC
2010 CANDIDATE SURVEY**

1. Would you oppose any attempt to require county road agencies to expend more than 1% of Michigan Transportation Fund revenues, revenues from fuel tax and vehicle registration fees, on non-motorized transportation including complete streets policies?

YES - I would oppose: NO - I would support:

Reason: Limited \$ must be focused on MAINTAINING OUR INFRASTRUCTURE

2a. Would you oppose any attempt to abolish road commissions without a vote of county residents?

YES - I would oppose: NO - I would support:

Reason: _____

2b. Would you oppose any legislation that would attempt to regionalize road commissions?

YES - I would oppose: NO - I would support:

Reason: _____

3. Would you oppose attempts by businesses or industries that would reduce their loads (divisible loads) to receive exemptions from seasonal weight restrictions?

YES - I would oppose: NO - I would support:

Reason: _____

4a. Considering the massive funding shortage, would you support legislation to increase Michigan's fuel tax structure over a period of years in order to adequately fund Michigan's infrastructure (spreading out the increase impact)?

YES - I would support: NO - I would oppose:

Reason: Delaying maintenance will increase long-term costs

4b. In order to avoid one segment of road funding structure (i.e. fuel tax) from absorbing the entire road funding shortage, would you support legislation to increase vehicle registration fees?

YES - I would support: NO - I would oppose:

Reason: This would shift some burden to the more fuel efficient vehicles (e.g., all electric) that also use the roads

4c. Would you support legislation to equalize diesel and gasoline fuel tax rates (diesel parity)?

YES - I would support: NO - I would oppose:

Reason: _____

5a. In order to receive an Inter-departmental Grant (IDG) from the Michigan Transportation Fund (MTF), would you support legislation requiring an operating agreement and cost allocation plan based on an actual cost study, creating accountability and justification for the IDGs?

YES - I would support: NO - I would oppose:

Reason: _____

5b. Would you oppose efforts to divert funding for special programs and ensure that all new transportation revenue is allocated according to the existing formula?

YES - I would oppose: NO - I would support:

Reason: _____

5c. Would you oppose efforts to divert Transportation Economic Development Fund revenues to the General Fund?

YES - I would oppose: NO - I would support:

Reason: _____

Please return by June 17, 2010 and mail to:

CRAM RUSH-PAC
PO Box 12067
Lansing MI 48901

or fax to: 517.482.1253
or e-mail to: sandy@localroads.net